

# Australia in the Indo-Pacific: Strategic Realignment and Technological Cooperation under AUKUS

*Dr. Dipmala Roka and Mr. Debajit Boruah<sup>1</sup>*

## **Abstract**

*Australia's evolving role in the Indo-Pacific reflects its identity as a middle power navigating intensifying great-power competition and shifting regional dynamics. This study examines three interlinked dimensions of Australia's foreign and security policy, including middle power activism, Indo-Pacific strategic realignment and technological cooperation under the AUKUS framework. Drawing on policy documents, defence white papers and scholarly sources, the study adopts a qualitative analytical approach to analyse Australia's responses to China's assertiveness, the United States' strategic repositioning and the emergence of minilateral forums, such as the AUKUS. The analysis emphasizes how Australia combines coalition-building, normative diplomacy and military partnerships to safeguard its economic prosperity and national security, while contributing to a rules-based regional order. The study suggests that while AUKUS strengthens Australia's deterrence posture and access to cutting-edge technologies, it also generates challenges in managing regional perceptions, preserving ASEAN centrality and mitigating the risk of heightened strategic rivalry. The study argues that Australia's middle power strategy reflects a dual imperative; deepening security integration with its allies while maintaining inclusive partnerships across the Indo-Pacific.*

*Keywords: Indo-Pacific, strategic realignment, technological cooperation, security architecture*

## **1. Introduction**

In contemporary global politics, the Indo-Pacific has emerged as one of the most strategically important areas. It is home to critical shipping routes, abundant natural resources, and economic powerhouses, expanding from the eastern coast of Africa to the western shores of the Americas. Its significance lies not only in its economic potential but also in its role as a vital passage for global trade, energy supply, and security concerns. In the twenty-first century, the world has changed drastically and has become smaller and more interconnected due to technological

advances and global communications. Asia has now emerged as a center of competition in global politics. Within the region, China and India have emerged as two of the world's largest economies, while ASEAN countries like Indonesia, Malaysia, Thailand, and Singapore have also undergone rapid economic growth. This growth has led to greater integration and interdependence among Indo-Pacific countries. However, it has also heightened rivalries and competition for resources, trade routes, and strategic influence. As these nations continue to expand their economic goals, their geopolitical strategies have greatly shaped the future dynamics of the region.

In the Indo-Pacific region, maritime security plays a significant role in the strategic motives and power dynamics among the major players. Maritime security is one of the main reasons behind the Indo-Pacific's evolving geopolitics since it is directly tied to the stability of the region. These dynamics are shaped by complex factors, including territorial disputes, competition for resources, and conflicting claims over maritime boundaries. Maritime security in the Indo-Pacific presents a multifaceted challenge that requires sustained cooperation and coordination among both regional and global stakeholders. As highlighted by a report from the Council on Foreign Relations, *"effective management of the maritime commons in the Indo-Pacific requires both individual states and regional organizations to work together to uphold international norms and prevent conflicts over issues such as fishing rights, navigation rights and territorial claims"* (Council on Foreign Relations, 2023). This underscores the crucial role of collaboration, not only between the United States and its regional allies but also with other significant players. The success of maritime security efforts hinges on this collective approach, ensuring stability and adherence to international norms in a region marked by strategic competition and diverse interests.

Another report from the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace underscores the critical need for building trust and confidence among countries in the region to bolster maritime security cooperation (Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2023). The report highlights that sustained cooperation and coordination among regional and extra-regional nations is essential to effectively address the multifaceted issues of maritime security. This collaborative approach is vital for mitigating tensions and fostering a stable and secure maritime domain. Regional players have pursued various measures to address these challenges, including capacity building for

coastal states, regional cooperation initiatives, and dialogues. At the same time, the United States has sought to deepen its engagement in the region through its Free and Open Indo-Pacific (FOIP) strategy, emphasizing security cooperation, economic partnerships, and support for a rules-based regional order. The power dynamics and strategic motives of major players in the Indo-Pacific are largely driven by the ongoing rivalry between the United States and China, alongside the region's expanding maritime capabilities and growing economic interdependence. As countries in the region continue to balance these competing interests, the security of the Indo-Pacific depends on finding equilibrium between military deterrence and diplomatic engagement. With key maritime shipping routes and critical chokepoints, the region's stability is crucial for both regional and global security. However, as countries pursue their own strategic goals, competition has intensified, leading to naval build-ups, shifting alliances, and increasing tensions. Nations are now increasingly prioritizing military strength to maintain a balance of power, as reflected in the ongoing competition among states and emerging strategic alignments.

Strategic motives and power dynamics in the Indo-Pacific encompass the geopolitical interests, economic ambitions, and security strategies of major global and regional players. While discussing these dynamics, China's rise has become a major concern for both regional and extra-regional actors. Through various strategic power projections, China has been playing a significant role in the region. This has prompted militarization and the formation of strategic alliances such as the Quad, AUKUS, aimed at counterbalancing China's growing influence. From a realist perspective, the Indo-Pacific is experiencing a security dilemma, where the military expansion of one side encourages reciprocal actions from others, thereby perpetuating an insecure balance of power. With its abundance of natural resources and geostrategic importance, control over this region has become essential for achieving global influence. As a result, the Indo-Pacific's importance lies in its power dynamics and strategic competition among major players, each seeking to consolidate influence and secure national interests. The strategic motives of these major players revolve around securing maritime shipping routes, maintaining naval dominance, and influencing the regional security framework. These motives are driven by a mix of economic aspirations, political influence, and security concerns. Countries such as China, the United States, India, Japan, Australia, and groupings like ASEAN have approached the region with distinct priorities. Some emphasize freedom of navigation and open trade, while others focus on regional influence, military presence, and alliances. The interactions and rivalries

among these players make the Indo-Pacific not just a space of opportunity but also one of intense competition and cooperation. Analysts believe that it will continue to evolve due to ongoing strategic competition, economic integration, and diplomatic negotiations.

Australia occupies a central position in the Indo-Pacific, both geographically and strategically, making it a crucial player in shaping regional security and stability. Traditionally aligned with the United States through the ANZUS treaty, Australia has been recalibrating its foreign and security policies in response to the growing rivalry between the US and China. While its economy has been closely tied to trade with China, Australia has increasingly sought to diversify its partnerships and strengthen cooperation with like-minded democracies, particularly the United States, Japan, and India. A key development in this regard is the AUKUS trilateral security pact (Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States), which was launched in 2021. AUKUS represents a significant realignment of Australia's strategic posture, including the transfer of advanced nuclear-powered submarine technology, which will significantly enhance its maritime capabilities. Beyond submarines, AUKUS also emphasizes collaboration in emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, cyber security, quantum technologies, hypersonic systems, and undersea warfare. These advancements allow Australia to play a critical role in regional deterrence and uphold the rules-based order. Through AUKUS, Australia is repositioning itself not only as a middle power but also as a proactive contributor to Indo-Pacific stability. This strategic realignment reflects Australia's recognition of the need to balance economic reliance on China with security imperatives. At the same time, Australia continues to engage actively in the Quad and regional dialogues with ASEAN, reinforcing its role in collective deterrence and regional cooperation. Australia's Indo-Pacific strategy highlights its growing importance in the evolving power dynamics of the region. Its participation in AUKUS underscores its long-term commitment to defense modernization, technological cooperation, and maintaining a free and open Indo-Pacific. By integrating both traditional alliances and new strategic partnerships, Australia seeks to safeguard regional security while ensuring its own strategic autonomy in the face of intensifying great-power rivalry.

## **2. Australia- Middle Power Activism in the Indo-Pacific**

The concept of middle power activism has a long and unique place in the study of international relations, as it provides an angle to analyse how states that are neither great powers nor small states shape regional and global affairs. Australia is often considered a quintessential middle power, leveraging its strategic location, economic power and normative commitments to pursue an active foreign policy in the Indo-Pacific. Unlike major powers, which typically rely on material dominance or coercive power, middle players like Australia advance its interests and contribute to global governance through diplomatic entrepreneurship, coalition building and support for international institutions (Carr, 2014). This approach allows Australia to exert influence disproportionate to its size, reinforcing stability while promoting a rules-based internal order. Shifting power dynamics, China's assertiveness, the geopolitical realignment of the United States and the rising importance of minilateral and multilateral frameworks are putting Australia's middle power status in the contemporary Indo-Pacific region. Joining the Quad, prioritizing a rules-based regional order, and interacting with ASEAN and Pacific Island nations are all examples of Australian regional engagement. Australia's efforts to protect its economic prosperity and national security are emphasized by this activity, which also highlights its normative aspirations for creating a regional order in accordance with internationalist norms (Medcalf, 2020). Therefore, it is essential to examine Australia's middle power activity in the Indo-Pacific. It offers an understanding of how Australia manages to stay relevant and influential in a strategically competitive context.

Australia's role in the Indo-Pacific has gained attention in the last two decades as the region emerges as the epicenter of global economic and strategic competition. Defined by the intersection of the Indian and Pacific Oceans, the Indo-Pacific has become a central arena where great-power rivalry, economic interdependence and security dilemmas converge. For Australia, a nation historically balancing alliance commitments with regional engagement, the Indo-Pacific presents both significant opportunities and challenges. As a middle power, Australia seeks to uphold a rules-based regional order while simultaneously adapting to shifting dynamics shaped by the rise of China, the strategic repositioning of the United States, and the growing prominence of minilateral frameworks such as the Quad and AUKUS (Medcalf, 2020).

Middle power activism has long been a defining feature of Australian foreign policy, allowing it to exercise influence through coalition-building, normative diplomacy and institutional participation rather than solely relying on material capabilities. A significant turning point occurred with the 2013 Defence White Paper, which formally identified the Indo-Pacific as the core strategic framework for Australia's foreign and security policy. This reorientation reflected not only the growing significance of China and India but also Australia's recognition of its geostrategic position in a rapidly transforming region (Das, 2021). Within this evolving landscape, Australia's participation in AUKUS exemplifies its dual imperative: enhancing security integration with allies through advanced technological cooperation, while simultaneously maintaining inclusive engagement with regional institutions and partners. Thus, by situating Australia's middle power activism within the context of Indo-Pacific realignments and AUKUS-driven cooperation, this study underscores how Australia navigates the tension between alliance dependence and regional inclusivity. Such an analysis is essential for understanding both Australia's evolving strategic posture and its broader contribution to shaping the future security architecture of the Indo-Pacific.

## **2.1 Theoretical Analysis**

Australia's evolving position in the Indo-Pacific has become a focal point in debates on contemporary international security and regional order. The strategic environment in which Australia operates has changed as a result of the growing strategic rivalry between the United States and China, the growth of minilateral forums like AUKUS, and the competition for ASEAN centrality. This analysis employs liberal institutionalism, middle power theory, balance of power, and security dilemma dynamics theoretical frameworks. Australia's approach in the region is best understood as a hybrid strategy that combines liberal aspirations with realist imperatives, allowing it to adapt to a changing security landscape while preserving national prosperity. Australia's activity in the Indo-Pacific region can be better understood within the framework of middle power theory. Scholars like Cooper (2016) and Cox (1989) point out that middle powers use diplomacy, agenda-setting, and coalition building in addition to material supremacy to influence others. In the past, Australia has fulfilled this strategy by supporting multilateralism, advancing regional standards, and interacting with ASEAN-led organizations.

Australia's growing dependence on alliances and minilateral frameworks can be explained from a realist standpoint using Waltz's (1979) balance of power theory. Australia's alliance with strong allies to counteract China's ambition is demonstrated by the creation of AUKUS and the resuscitation of the Quadrilateral Security Dialogue (Quad). This justification supports Mearsheimer's (2001) contention that in order to maintain a beneficial power balance, governments that are up against emerging powers frequently establish security alliances. Deterrence and resilience are emphasised as top priority in Australia's defence white papers, highlighting the realism reasoning behind its security decisions (Department of Defence, Australia, 2020). Liberal institutionalism also emphasises Australia's concurrent dedication to inclusive partnerships and a rules-based regional order. According to Keohane (1984), nations make investments in institutions despite anarchy because of their ability to promote collaboration and lessen uncertainty. This reasoning is demonstrated by Australia's ongoing participation in the East Asia Summit (EAS) and the ASEAN Regional Forum (ARF), despite fortifying exclusive security agreements like as AUKUS. Australia's conviction that institutional frameworks and normative diplomacy are the greatest ways to ensure long-term stability and economic development is demonstrated by this dual involvement (Medcalf, 2020).

The applicability of security dilemma theory is underscored by AUKUS's deployment of cutting-edge defensive systems. As Herz (1950) and Butterfield (1951) argue, measures intended to enhance security often provoke counter measures that deepen mistrust. While advanced technology strengthen Australia's deterrence capabilities, they simultaneously also carry the danger of escalating regional concerns and sparking an arms race (Lubis, Perwita & Hadisancoko, 2024). This evolution is also consistent with the theory of technological determinism, which holds that power dynamics and strategic hierarchies are shaped by access to cutting-edge innovations. Taken together, Australia's Indo-Pacific policy reflects an overlapping imperative: preserving inclusive ties to uphold regional legitimacy while simultaneously enhancing integration with allies to provide security. This hybrid strategy exemplifies the flexibility of middle powers, which frequently function at the nexus of institutional norms and power politics. Australia aims to maintain strategic autonomy, reduce the risk of being involved in great-power competition, and safeguard its economic and security interests by combining realism balance with liberal participation. There are four objectives of this study. Firstly, it examines at how Australia has shaped regional security and diplomatic relations in the Indo-

Pacific through its middle power involvement. Secondly, it analyses how Australia's foreign and defence policies have been strategically realigned in reaction to China's aggressiveness and the strategic realignment of the United States. Thirdly, it assesses how AUKUS's technology collaboration helps to fortify Australia's security framework and discouragement position. Lastly, it evaluates the potential and problems that AUKUS presents for ASEAN centrality, regional perceptions, and strategic rivalry management. In an increasingly competitive strategic environment, middle powers have both possibilities and challenges that are reflected in Australia's foreign and security policy. This study illustrates how Australia's hybrid strategy allows it to strike a balance between security imperatives and wider regional participation by referencing both realist and liberal theoretical perspectives.

### **3. Indo-Pacific Strategic Realignment**

In the southern hemisphere, Australia has emerged as a pivotal strategic partner, marked by growing interoperability between the Australian Defence Forces (ADF) and the United States military. Northern Australian air and naval bases are being modernized to accommodate nuclear-capable B-52 bombers and to support joint maritime surveillance and anti-ship operations. These developments highlight the deepening defence cooperation between Australia and the United States, and underscore their shared concern over China's expanding activities in the Indian Ocean and broader Indo-Pacific theatre (Harijanto, 2024). Australia's Indo-Pacific strategy is centered on promoting a free, open, stable and inclusive region where international laws are respected, and all nations can prosper peacefully. In response to growing challenges such as strategic competition, maritime disputes and security threats, Australia underscores the importance of building deeper regional partnerships. Within this Indo-Pacific strategic vision, India is regarded as a critical maritime partner. Australia views India as a like-minded democracy with shared interests in preserving peace, stability and freedom of navigation across the Indo-Pacific. As a result, both countries have expanded cooperation in areas such as maritime security, economic connectivity and regional diplomacy, reflecting their converging strategic outlooks and mutual commitment to a rule-based order. One of the most significant symbols of

the growing defence ties between Australia and India is the AUSINDEX (Australia-India Maritime Exercise), which strengthens naval cooperation, enhances interoperability and builds trust between their armed forces. India's strategic location in the Indian Ocean and its expanding naval capabilities make it a valuable partner for Australia in addressing regional maritime challenges. Australia also appreciates India's leadership role in regional forums and its commitment to upholding international maritime law. Australia's Indo-Pacific approach sees India not only as a trusted friend but as an essential pillar for ensuring maritime security and regional stability. Both countries' partnership continues to deepen through joint exercises, dialogues and shared values, shaping a cooperative and rules-based vision for the Indo-Pacific.

The 2013 Defence White Paper was one of the first official documents to establish the Indo-Pacific as the primary framework for Australia's security and foreign policy, marking a significant turning point in Australia's strategic perspective (Australian Government, Department of Defence, 2013). Before 2013, Australia's strategic thinking had largely alternated between a global alliance framework and an Asia-Pacific emphasis. By formally embracing the Indo-Pacific concept, the White Paper recognized the interconnectedness of the Indian and Pacific Oceans as a single strategic theatre, influenced by evolving economic and security dynamics. This reassessment also reflected Australia's geostrategic location and its recognition of the region's growing significance in broader global power shifts. The 2013 Defence White Paper highlighted the significance of ASEAN-led institutions, the emergence of China and India, and the United States' continued position as the region's security guarantor. This framing reflected Australia's dual imperative: to uphold its alliance obligations with the United States, while simultaneously expanding its strategic and diplomatic engagement across Asia and the Indian Ocean. Within this framework, Australia articulated a vision of middle power activism through the Indo-Pacific framework, one that emphasized diplomatic, institutional, and normative mechanisms for shaping the regional order rather than solely relying on hard power or hegemonic dominance. The 2013 White Paper can be examined theoretically as an example of middle power identity formation. By embracing the Indo-Pacific concept, Australia sought to carve out a distinct role as a mediator, norm entrepreneur and coalition builder, while simultaneously adapting to fundamental changes in regional power dynamics (Das, 2021). The document reflects the defining characteristics of middle power diplomacy, particularly its emphasis on inclusive multilateralism, regional stability, and promotion of a "rules-based order."

At the same time, the Defence White Paper also highlighted the inherent tensions in Australia's framework, balancing its aspiration for diversified regional engagement with its enduring reliance on the United States alliance, a challenge made more complex by China's increasing influence.

#### 4. AUKUS, Technological Cooperation and Its Implications

Strategically located between the Indian and Pacific Oceans, Australia views the Indo-Pacific as central to its security, economic prosperity and diplomatic influence. Within this framework, the AUKUS partnership between the United States and the United Kingdom has emerged as a critical pillar of Australia's strategy, aimed at enhancing defence capabilities and fostering deeper technological and security cooperation. The agreement reflects Australia's intent to safeguard regional stability while preparing for the challenges of future warfare and security risks. Announced in 2021, AUKUS extends beyond the transfer of nuclear-powered submarine technology. It represents a long-term commitment among three countries to integrate security and defence planning (Department of Defence, 2021). For Australia, the pact addresses two major concerns: maintaining a credible deterrent in an increasingly contested Indo-Pacific, and ensuring access to advanced defence technology. Apart from the submarine program, the strategy also encompasses collaboration in areas such as cyber security, artificial intelligence, quantum technologies and undersea capabilities. Technology plays a central role in AUKUS, recognizing that future conflicts will be defined as much by technological superiority as by traditional military hardware. As a result, Australia is working closely with its partners to build advanced capabilities in surveillance, secure communications and data processing. The sharing of expertise in artificial intelligence and quantum computing is particularly significant, as these technologies have the potential to transform decision-making speed, intelligence gathering and operational efficiency.

The following chart shows AUKUS and Australia's technological cooperation

#### AUKUS and Technological Cooperation

Aspect	Details
Strategic Importance	Australia's location between the Indian and Pacific Oceans makes the

	Indo-Pacific central to its security, economy and diplomacy.
Major Objective	Enhance defence capabilities and deepen technological/security cooperation with the US and UK.
Core Components	Nuclear-powered submarine program, and integration of defence and security planning.
Technological Focus	Cyber security, artificial intelligence, quantum technologies, undersea capabilities, surveillance, secure communications, data processing.
Benefits for Australia	Credible deterrence in a contested Indo-Pacific, access to advanced defence technology, strengthened alliances.
Regional Reactions	Some see it as balancing China's influence; others fear an arms race or marginalization of ASEAN.
Challenges	Managing regional concerns, avoiding an arms race, balancing great-power rivalry with regional trust.
Broader Strategy	Combine AUKUS hard power with broader engagement: ASEAN, Pacific Islands, India; trust-building with smaller states.

*Source: Self Compiled from Department of Defence (2021), Council on Foreign Relations (2023) and Carnegie Endowment for International Peace (2023).*

Through AUKUS, Australia is seeking not only to enhance its own capabilities but also to contribute to a more stable security environment in the Indo-Pacific. The partnership signals to potential adversaries that Australia and its allies are committed to preserving a rules-based order in the region. However, the initiative has generated mixed reactions. While some regional partners view AUKUS as a necessary step to balance China's growing influence, others express concerns about an arms race or undermining the significance of regional institutions like ASEAN. Although AUKUS provides Australia with unprecedented access to advanced technology, it also requires careful diplomatic management. The partnership must be integrated into a broader strategy that includes Southeast Asian nations, the Pacific Islands and India. Building trust will be essential, particularly as smaller states in the region are wary of great-power competition overshadowing their own security priorities. Therefore, Australia's Indo-Pacific Strategy integrates the hard power advantages of AUKUS with ongoing investments in a comprehensive approach to security and stability in the region.

## **4.1 Implications of AUKUS**

AUKUS's formation has significant ramifications for the Indo-Pacific region's political, security, and strategic framework. Fundamentally, the agreement improves Australia's deterrent position and strengthens its defence capabilities, guaranteeing increased resistance to any coercion in a disputed maritime environment. Australia is poised to become a technologically advanced middle power with greater influence over regional security outcomes by gaining access to cutting-edge technology like artificial intelligence, quantum systems, and nuclear-powered submarines. AUKUS is a new commitment by the US and the UK to continue their active involvement in the Indo-Pacific for the benefit of the larger area. In addition to highlighting the need of collective defence, this reassures partners and allies on the persistence of a rules-based system. Some Pacific Island countries and ASEAN members are worried that the agreement might exacerbate great-power competition, begin an arms race, and overshadow regional institutions.

In terms of diplomacy, AUKUS challenges Australia to find a balance between its growing security links with the United States and the United Kingdom, its economic dependence on China, and its requirement to retain close relations with its Southeast Asian allies. Whether AUKUS promotes long-term stability or exacerbates polarisation will depend on how well this balance is managed. AUKUS ramifications go beyond military modernisation. They alter the Indo-Pacific's strategic landscape by redefining alliances, adjusting regional trust, and affecting the trajectory of the twenty-first century's global power struggle.

## **5. Findings and Policy Recommendations**

The rise of AUKUS brings to light a number of important conclusions about the changing Indo-Pacific security environment. First, it highlights a distinct strategic realignment, with Australia establishing itself as a proactive middle power in the area and strengthening its ties to security frameworks led by the United States. Second, the collaboration shows how technical dominance is becoming more and more important, as sophisticated technologies like cyber security, artificial intelligence, and quantum computing are predicted to influence future wars just as much as conventional military weapons. Third, although AUKUS reassures friends and improves deterrence, it also changes the dynamics of regional security, raising fears of an arms race and

undermining the importance of inclusive organizations like ASEAN. Additionally, the initiative finds Australia in a difficult diplomatic position as it tries to strike a balance between its security alignment with the United States and the United Kingdom and its economic reliance on China. Furthermore, AUKUS strengthens trust in collective security arrangements by signaling to partners like Japan and India that Western allies are once again committed to upholding the rules-based system.

These findings lead to a number of policy suggestions. In order to clarify misconceptions and assure regional actors that AUKUS is defensive rather than offensive, openness should be given top priority. In order to make sure that AUKUS enhances rather than replaces regional structures, Australia and its allies must simultaneously aggressively engage ASEAN and Pacific Island governments. Australia should prioritise soft power projects like disaster assistance, cyber resilience, and climate change collaboration in addition to military modernisation in order to foster confidence and increase its attractiveness to smaller nations. Incorporating AUKUS into international forums such as the ASEAN Regional Forum or the Quad will further promote inclusion and guarantee that the collaboration strengthens the regional order rather than undermines it. Additionally, diversifying alliances with Southeast Asia, Japan, and India will strengthen Australia's strategic autonomy and prevent it from being overly reliant on a single alliance structure. subsequently, it is imperative that emergent technologies be effectively governed, with established standards and protections to guarantee their responsible use and improve interoperability across allies. All of these conclusions and suggestions point to the possibility that, with careful management, AUKUS might transform regional security. Australia and its partners have the chance to enhance deterrence and promote a stable, rules-based, and technologically advanced Indo-Pacific region by fusing hard power advantages with wider diplomatic outreach and inclusive collaboration.

## **6. Conclusion**

Australia's evolving role in the Indo-Pacific underscores the complexities of middle power diplomacy in an era shaped by strategic competition, technological transformation and shifting regional dynamics. As the analysis demonstrates, Australia's middle power activism is not merely a theoretical construct but a pragmatic approach that combines coalition-building,

normative diplomacy and selective dependence on alliances to maintain influence and relevance. The 2013 Defence White Paper marked a significant turning point in Australian strategic thinking, embedding the Indo-Pacific as the central theatre for its foreign and security policy. Since then, Australia has both deepened engagement with regional partners and reaffirmed its alliance with the United States. The AUKUS partnership represents the most significant strategic and technological commitment within this trajectory. Extending beyond nuclear-powered submarines to encompass cooperation in cyber security, artificial intelligence, quantum technologies and undersea capabilities, AUKUS illustrates how technological superiority has become integral to modern security strategies. While such developments enhance Australia's deterrence posture and reinforce its alliance network, they also generate challenges, particularly in managing regional perceptions, safeguarding ASEAN centrality and avoiding the risks of an escalating arms race. This study demonstrates that Australia's dual imperative, strengthening hard power through AUKUS while simultaneously fostering inclusive regional diplomacy, defines its current middle power identity. Australia's Indo-Pacific strategy reflects both continuity and adaptation: continuity in its enduring commitment to a rules-based order and adaptation in recognizing the decisive role of emerging technologies and multilateral frameworks in shaping the regional balance of power.

## Reference

Australian Government, Department of Defence. (2013). Defence white paper 2013. Commonwealth of Australia. <https://www.defence.gov.au/strategy-policy/defence-white-paper/2013>

Australian Government, Department of Defence. (2021, September 16). *AUKUS—Trilateral security partnership between Australia, the United Kingdom, and the United States*.

Butterfield, H. (1951). *History and human relations*. London: Collins.

Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. (2023). *Maritime security and trust-building in the Indo-Pacific*. Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.

Carr, A. (2014). Is Australia a middle power? A systemic impact approach. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 68(1), 70-84.

Cooper, A. F. (Ed.). (2016). *Niche diplomacy: Middle powers after the Cold War*. Springer.

Council on Foreign Relations. (2023). *Managing the maritime commons in the Indo-Pacific*.

Cox, R. W. (1989). Middle powermanship, Japan, and future world order. *International Journal*, 44(4), 823-862.

Das, S. (2021). Middle power cooperation in the Indo-Pacific: India and Australia at the forefront. *International Studies*, 58(4), 513-529.

Department of Defence. (2020). 2020 Defence Strategic Update.

Department of Defence. (2021). AUKUS: An enhanced trilateral security partnership. Commonwealth of Australia.

Harijanto, C. (2024). Middle-power behaviors: Australia's status-quoist/Lockean and Indonesia's reformist/Kantian approaches to crises of legitimacy in the Indo-Pacific. *Australian Journal of International Affairs*, 78(1), 40-57.

Herz, J. H. (1950). Idealist internationalism and the security dilemma. *World politics*, 2(2), 157-180.

Keohane, R. O. (2005). *After hegemony: Cooperation and discord in the world political economy*. Princeton university press.

Lubis, Lia & Perwita, Anak & Hadisancoko, Rizerius. (2024). The Role of AUKUS in Australia's Military Capacity Building Strategy. *East Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*. 3. 10.55927/eajmr.v3i8.10608.

Mearsheimer, J. J. (2001). *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. New York: WW Norton & Company.

Medcalf, R. (2020). *Indo-Pacific Empire: China, America and the contest for the world's pivotal region*. Manchester University Press.

Waltz, K. N. (2014). *Realist thought and neorealist theory*. In *The realism reader* (pp. 124-128). Routledge.

---

<sup>i</sup> Dr. Dipmala Roka is an Assistant Professor in the Department of International Relations at Sikkim University, Gangtok, Sikkim, India. She can be contacted at [droka@cus.ac.in](mailto:droka@cus.ac.in) , ORCID ID: 0009-0006-3165-3540.

Mr. Debajit Boruah is a Research Scholar in the Department of International Relations at Sikkim University, Sikkim. He can be contacted at [boruahdebajit2015@gmail.com](mailto:boruahdebajit2015@gmail.com) , ORCID ID: 0009-0005-1662-543X.